

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/660,084	WILLIAMS, KEVIN R.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Renata McCloud	2837

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Renata McCloud.

(3) _____.

(2) Albert Deaver.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 February 2005

Time: 4:30

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

3-20

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that the limitation "common" needed to be cancelled from the claims, since it was not a structural limitation. The examiner also indicated that claims 3-20 should be cancelled since prosecution was closed under Ex parte Quayle. Att. Deaver agreed to the changes by examiner's amendment.